

## Lecture 13

Recall the following definition from Lec. 11

Definition A cycle of length 2 is called a transposition.

We'll soon see that it is advantageous to write any permutation as a product of transpositions. So we first prove,

Theorem 1 Any cycle of length  $r \geq 2$  can be written as a product of transpositions.

Proof Before proving this let's understand what the theorem is saying. Suppose we have a cycle  $(12345)$ . We want to write it as a product of transpositions. How do we do this?

First of all we know that  $1 \rightarrow 2$ , so we write  $(12)$ . This is a transposition in which  $1 \rightarrow 2$  and rest all the elements are fixed.

Now we want to multiply it with another transpositions so that we should move forward in expressing  $(12345)$ . Since 1 is already mapped to 2  $\Rightarrow$  now we should worry about  $2 \rightarrow 3$ .

A naive guess would be to write  $(23)$ .

But observe that overall we'll have

$(23)(12)$  which is telling us that  $1 \rightarrow 3$  as first  $1 \rightarrow 2$  (from  $(12)$ ) and then  $2 \rightarrow 3$  (from  $(23)$ ) which is wrong. This can be remedied easily by writing  $(13)(12)$  as now this is telling us that  $1 \rightarrow 2$  (as  $2 \rightarrow 2$  in  $(13)$ ) and  $2 \rightarrow 3$  (as  $2 \rightarrow 1$  in  $(12)$  and  $1 \rightarrow 3$  in  $(13)$ ).

So we have got  $1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3$  part in  $(12345)$ .  
Now we want to take care of  $3 \rightarrow 4$ . This  
again can be taken care by multiplying  $(14)$   
to  $(13)(12)$ . So finally we'll get

$$(12345) = (15)(14)(13)(12)$$

But there was nothing special about  $(12345)$ .  
In fact, if we start with any cycle  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$   
Then it can be written, using the same procedure  
as above, as

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = (a_1, a_k) \cdot (a_1, a_{k-1}) \cdots (a_1, a_3) (a_1, a_2)$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.



Theorem 2 Any  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written as a product  
of transpositions.

Proof Consider the identity  $\epsilon \in S_n$ . Then

$$\epsilon = (12)(12)$$

as  $|(12)| = 2 \Rightarrow \epsilon$  can be written as a product of transpositions. Now the Theorem follows from Theorem 1 above and Theorem 1 in Lec. 12.

□

Exercise Consider  $(123)(456) \in S_6$ . Write this as a product of transpositions.

Let's come back to the example in the proof of Theorem 1.

$$(12345) = (15)(14)(13)(12)$$

You can check that

$$(12345) = (45)(35)(25)(15)$$

Also,  $(12345) = (45)(25)(12)(25)(23)(13)$

so, a permutation can be written as a product of transpositions in more than one way.

So what's the advantage?

Notice that the number of transpositions being used in all the representations of  $(12345)$  is even.

In fact, try to check the same thing for any other permutation and the number of transpositions required will be either even or odd. We'll prove this below, but first a Lemma.

Lemma If  $\sigma = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_r$  where  $\beta_i$ 's are transpositions  $\Rightarrow r$  is even.

Proof First of all  $r \neq 1$  as a transposition  $\neq$  identity. If  $r = 2$ , we are done. So suppose  $r > 2$  and we proceed by induction, i.e., we know that if the # of transpositions is less than  $n$  then they are even. We want to show that  $n$  is even.

Let's look at  $\beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi}$ , i.e. the rightmost 2 transpositions. Suppose  $\beta_{\pi} = (ab)$ . Then there are 4 choices for  $\beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi}$

$$1) \beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi} = (ab)(ab)$$

$$2) \beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi} = (ac)(ab)$$

$$3) \beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi} = (bc)(ab)$$

$$4) \beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi} = (cd)(ab).$$

Case 1 If  $\beta_{\pi-1}\beta_{\pi} = (ab)(ab) = \epsilon \Rightarrow$  we get

$\epsilon = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{\pi-2} \Rightarrow$  by Principle of Mathema-

-tical induction  $n-2$  is even  $\Rightarrow n$  is even.

Case 2 We are in one of the three cases above.

The goal is to write them in such a way so that 'a' appears in the 1<sup>st</sup> spot of the left-most transposition. More precisely, write

$$(ac)(ab) = (ab)(bc) \quad \text{or}$$

$$(bc)(ab) = (ac)(cb) \quad \text{or}$$

$$(cd)(ab) = (ab)(cd)$$

So we can write  $\epsilon = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n-2} (ab)(bc)$  or

$\epsilon = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n-2} (ac)(cb)$  or  $\epsilon = \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-2} (ab)(cd)$ .

Repeat the same procedure with  $\beta_{n-2} \beta_{n-1}$ , then  $\beta_{n-3} \beta_{n-2} \dots \beta_1 \beta_2$ .

Just like above we either get  $(n-2)$  transpo-

-sitions  $\Rightarrow$   $\mathfrak{r}$ -even or  $\epsilon =$  product of  $\mathfrak{r}$  transpositions with the only 'a' occurring on the first spot in the leftmost transposition, i.e.,

$$\epsilon = (ab)\beta_2 \dots \beta_{\mathfrak{r}}$$

Now if LHS is  $\epsilon \Rightarrow \beta_2$  must be  $(ab)$  otherwise  $a \rightarrow b$  on the RHS but  $a \rightarrow a$  in  $\epsilon \Rightarrow \epsilon = \beta_3 \dots \beta_{\mathfrak{r}}$  which are  $(\mathfrak{r}-2)$  transpositions  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{r}-2$  is even  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{r} =$  even.

□

Theorem 3 If  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written as a product of transpositions in more than one way then the # of transpositions in the decomposition is either always even or always odd.

Proof Suppose  $\sigma = \beta_1 \dots \beta_{\mathfrak{r}}$  and  $\sigma = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s$ . Then



$$\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{r_1} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s \beta_{r_1}^{-1} \beta_{r_1-1}^{-1} \dots \beta_1^{-1}$$

now inverse of a transposition is the transposition itself (as  $(ab)(ab) = \epsilon \Rightarrow (ab)^{-1} = (ab)$ ).

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s \beta_{r_1} \beta_{r_1-1} \dots \beta_1$$

$\Rightarrow r_1 + s = \text{even}$  from the lemma above

$\Rightarrow$  either both  $r_1$  and  $s$  are even or both are odd.

□

This theorem motivates the following definition.

Definition Even and odd permutation

A permutation that can be expressed as a product of an even number of transpositions is called an even permutation.

A permutation that can be expressed as a product of an odd number of transpositions is called an odd permutation.

So the lemma is telling us that the identity permutation is an even permutation and Theorem 3 is telling us that the definition is unambiguous.

Theorem 4 The set of even permutations in  $S_n$  forms a subgroup of  $S_n$  called the **alternating group on  $n$  symbols** and is denoted by  $A_n$ .

Proof. **Exercise.**

We end with finding the order of  $A_n$ .

Theorem 5 For  $n > 1$ ,  $|A_n| = \frac{n!}{2}$ .

Proof Exercise.

Hint:- Prove that the number of even permutations in  $S_n$  = the number of odd permutations in  $S_n$ .

So # (even permutations) + # (odd permutations) =  $n!$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \# (\text{even permutations}) = n!$$

$$\Rightarrow |A_n| = \frac{n!}{2}$$

□

